Department of Energy Executive Safety Conference

Improving the contribution of operating experience, performance monitoring and analysis, and lessons learned to integrated safety management

Session 3

Denny Ruddy, Chair December 12, 2001

Breakout Session 3 Feedback for Improvement

- Panel Chair
 - Denny Ruddy, BWXT Pantex
- Panel Members
 - Everett Beckner, NA-10
 - Paul Golan, EM-1
 - Mike Mallory, BWXT Pantex
 - Keith Christopher, OE-10
 - Bob Pedde, Westinghouse, SR

Integrated Safety Management



Feedback for Improvement

- Available

– Visible

- Meaningful

Our Process

- Topic Discussions
 - State the Objective per A,V, M
 - What do we have now the gap
 - Potential solutions or actions (strawman)
 - Open discussion
- Cover Each Topic in Series
- Capture Ideas for Presentation
- Define Path Forward

Metrics/Management Attention Feedback for Improvement

How do we know how we are doing? We do what the boss inspects, not what the boss expects.

Objective: To implement a simple set of standard performance indicators for use by Contractors and DOE field and headquarters which:

- ✓ Is timely and consistent.
- ✓ Provides key performance information at a glance.
- ✓ Depicts trends versus events.
- ✓ Allows "drill down" to identify issues/actions.

Metrics/Management Attention

Strengths	Weaknesses
• Business and financial data exist	Too many trailing indicators
• Some standard metrics mandated	Vary site to siteLack clarity
	Corporate "need" not clearly communicated
Opportunities	Threats
• Relate to site incentives	• "Hammer"
Focus for site objectivesOpportunity for benchmarking	Zeal for standardization

Occurrence Reporting and Processing System

How do we record what we are doing?

Objective: Maximize the use and quality of our data. Make the data systems work for "us" and help drive safety improvement. Use this system to learn.

Occurrence Reporting and Processing System

Strengths	Weaknesses
 Have system that links 	Data overload
sites	Little value at site
Ability to trend	Threshold too low
	Expensive to maintain
	Not tied to ISM
Opportunities	Threats
• Change to reinforce ISM	• "Hammer"
principles	Impact on fee earned
• Streamline to be useful at	Not related to site
various levels	response
 Potential to consolidate 	
systems	

Corporate Problem Solving

How do we play nice?

Objectives

- A process that effectively identifies and resolves broad safety issues across the DOE Complex before these result in significant events or re-occurrences.
- An open, seamless and no fault process that allows the free flow of information and knowledge across both organizational and company lines.

Corporate Problem Solving

Strengths	Weaknesses
• Recent contracts recognize	Localized solutions
need	 Many voices of DOE
• Plant multi-site initiatives	No field ownership of HQ
 Parent corporation 	issues
Opportunities	Threats
 Leverage best practices 	Implied criticism
 Increased incentives 	Risk/reward
• Speed improvements	• "Hammer"
	Local customer focus

Sharing Best Practices

Who gets it?

OBJECTIVE

In a cost-effective manner, make innovative business practices that address site specific safety problems available to all DOE facilities. Present the information in a manner that allows the reader to evaluate the problem/solution and assess the applicability of the business practice at their own site.

Best Practices

Strengths	Weaknesses
 Lots of information 	• "NIH" (not invented here)
available	Lack of common metrics
 Customer support 	Program maturity
• Parent companies	Knowledge of best/world
	class
Opportunities	Threats
 Accelerate improvements 	Management of
• Start with "better"	expectations
practices	We are unique
 Goal setting 	

Path Forward

- Champion D. Ruddy
- Subject Champions
 - Metrics/management focus
 - B. Pedde
 - ORPS
 - P. Golan
 - Best Practices
 - M. Mallory

Path Forward (cont'd.)

- Solicit membership on teams
- January meeting to establish action Plan
- Report progress at spring ISM conference and a path forward for full implementation